RISK EVALUATION OF FEET ULCERATION IN PEOPLE WITH DIABETES *MELLITUS* IN PRIMARY CARE

AVALIAÇÃO DO RISCO DE ULCERAÇÃO NOS PÉS EM PESSOAS COM DIABETES MELLITUS NA ATENÇÃO PRIMÁRIA EVALUACIÓN DEL RIESGO DE ULCERACIÓN EN PIESDEPERSONAS CON DIABETES MELLITUS EN LA ATENCIÓN PRIMARIA DE SALUD

Jefferson Abraão Caetano Lira¹

Dianca Maria Aguiar de Oliveira¹

Débora dos Reis Soares¹

- Claudia Daniella Avelino Vasconcelos Benício¹
- Lídya Tolstenko Nogueira¹

¹Universidade Federal do Piauí - UFPI, Departamento de Enfermagem. Teresina, PI – Brazil.

Corresponding author: Jefferson Abraão Caetano Lira **E-mail:** j.abraaolira@gmail.com

Authors' Contributions:

Conceptualization: Jefferson A. C. Lira; Bianca M. A. Oliveira, Débora R. Soares, Lídya T. Nogueira; Data Collection: Jefferson A. C. Lira, Bianca M. A. Oliveira, Débora R. Soares; Investigation: Lídya T. Nogueira; Methodology: Jefferson A. C. Lira, Bianca M. A. Oliveira, Débora R. Soares, Lídya T. Nogueira; Project Management: Lídya T. Nogueira; Statistical Analysis: Jefferson A. C. Lira; Wirting – Original Draft Preparation: Jefferson A. C. Lira, Bianca M. A. Oliveira, Débora R. Soares, Claudia D. A. V. Benício, Lídya T. Nogueira; Writing – Review and Edition: Jefferson A. C. Lira, Claudia D. A. V. Benício, Lídya T. Nogueira.

Funding: No funding.

Submitted on: 2019/12/31 Approved on: 2020/05/22

ABSTRACT

Objective: to evaluate the risk of foot ulceration in people with diabetes *mellitus* treated in primary care. Method: this is a cross-sectional analytical study carried out in Teresina, Piauí, with 308 patients, including those over 18 years old diagnosed with diabetes *mellitus* and excluding those with active ulceration and/ or neuropathy attributed to other conditions. The data were collected using a sociodemographic, clinical, and risk classification form for the foot ulceration, from February to August 2019. The analysis was based on descriptive and inferential statistics. *Results:* in the study, 56.5% of the participants were over 60 years old, 59.7% did not perform glycemic control, 56.2% did not practice physical activity, 51.3% were overweight and 54.2% had a degree of risk 1 for foot ulceration. Marital status, occupation, and diabetes *mellitus* for more than 10 years, inadequate glycemic control, arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia, and obesity had a statistically significant association with the risk of ulceration. Those with dry skin, deformities, ankle reflexes, and altered perception of hallux vibration were more likely to have foot ulcers. We found that the clinical examination of the feet and the preserved sensitivity to the monofilament were protective factors. *Conclusion*: we observed that the sociodemographic and clinical aspects interfere with the probability of ulceration and most of them present a low risk. Also, in the clinical examination of the feet, changes in vibratory sensitivity and ankle reflex increased the likelihood of ulceration, noting that the classification of the risk of ulceration is essential in assisting people with diabetes mellitus.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus; Diabetic Foot; Risk Grade; Foot Ulcer; Primary Health Care.

RESUMO

Objetivo: avaliar o risco de ulceração nos pés de pessoas com diabetes mellitus atendidas na atenção primária. Método: estudo transversal analítico realizado em Teresina, Piauí, com 308 pacientes, sendo incluídos maiores de 18 anos diagnosticados com diabetes mellitus e excluídos aqueles com ulceração ativa e/ ou neuropatia atribuída a outros agravos. Os dados foram coletados mediante formulário sociodemográfico, clínico e de classificação do risco de ulceração nos pés, no período de fevereiro a agosto de 2019. A análise ocorreu a partir de estatísticas descritiva e inferencial. Resultados: dos participantes, 56,5% tinham mais de 60 anos, 59,7% não realizavam o controle da glicemia, 56,2% não praticavam atividade física, 51,3% estavam com sobrepeso e 54,2% apresentaram grau de risco 1 para ulceração nos pés. A situação conjugal, ocupação e diabetes mellitus há mais de 10 anos, controle glicêmico inadequado, hipertensão arterial, dislipidemia e obesidade tiveram associação estatisticamente significativa com o risco de ulceração. Aqueles com pele seca, deformidades, reflexo do tornozelo e percepção de vibração no hálux alterados apresentaram mais probabilidade de ulceração nos pés. Constatou-se que o exame clínico dos pés e a sensibilidade preservada ao monofilamento foram fatores de proteção. Conclusão: observou-

How to cite this article:

Lira JAC, Oliveira BMA, Soares DR, Benício CDAV, Nogueira LT. Risk evaluation of foot unlceration in people with Diabetes *Mellitus* in Primary Care. REME - Rev Min Enferm. 2020[cited ______];24:e-1327. Available from: ______DOI: 10.5935/1415-2762.20200064

se que os aspectos sociodemográficos e clínicos interferem na probabilidade de ulceração, sendo que a maioria apresentou risco baixo. Além disso, no exame clínico dos pés, as alterações na sensibilidade vibratória e no reflexo do tornozelo aumentaram a probabilidade de ulceração, destacando-se que a classificação do risco de ulceração é imprescindível na assistência às pessoas com diabetes mellitus.

Palavras-chave: Diabetes Mellitus; Pé Diabético; Grau de Risco; Úlcera do Pé; Atenção Primária à Saúde.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: evaluar el riesgo de ulceración del pie en personas con diabetes mellitus tratadas en atención primaria. Método: estudio analítico transversal realizado en Teresina, Piauí, con 308 pacientes, incluidos los mayores de 18 años diagnosticados de diabetes mellitus y excluidos aquéllos con ulceración activa y / o neuropatía atribuida a otras condiciones. Los datos se recogieronde febrero a agosto de 2019 por medio de un formulario sociodemográfico, clínico y de clasificación de riesgo para la ulceración en el pie. El análisis se realizó a través de estadística descriptiva e inferencial. Resultados: el 56,5% de los participantes tenía más de 60 años, el 59,7% no realizaba control glucémico, el 56,2% no practicaba actividad física, el 51,3% tenía sobrepeso y el 54,2% tenía un grado de riesgo 1 de ulceración del pie. La situación conjugal, ocupación, diabetes mellitus durante más de 10 años, el inadecuado control glucémico, la hipertensión arterial, la dislipidemia y la obesidad tuvieron una asociación estadísticamente significativa con el riesgo de ulceración. Aquéllos con piel seca, deformidades, reflejo del tendón de Aquiles alterado y percepción alterada de la vibración del hallux tenían más probabilidades de ulceración de pies. Se encontró que el examen clínico de los pies y la sensibilidad conservada al monofilamento eran factores protectores. Conclusión: se observó que los aspectos sociodemográficos y clínicos interfieren con la probabilidad de ulceración, siendo la mayoría de bajo riesgo. Además, en el examen clínico de los pies, los cambios en la sensibilidad vibratoria y en el reflejo del tendón de Aquiles aumentaron la probabilidad de ulceración, destacando que la clasificación del riesgo de ulceración es esencial en la atención de las personas con diabetes mellitus. Palabras clave: Diabetes Mellitus; Pié Diabético; Grado de Riesgo; Úlcera del Pie; Aención Primaria de Salud.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes *mellitus* (DM) is the cause of 14.5% of deaths worldwide each year and about 227 million people are expected to develop the disease in 2040. Brazil is the fourth country with the highest incidence of DM, with about 14.3 million people affected. Due to its chronicity, DM can configure irreversible complications that harm the quality of life of people with this disease. Foot ulceration from the diabetic foot is one of the main causes of hospitalization and amputations.¹

The prevalence of foot ulceration in people with DM is 4 to 10%; the annual population-based incidence is 1 to 4.1%, and the incidence throughout life can reach 25%.² A study in *Pernambuco* found that the prevalence of diabetic foot was 9% and that 25.6% of lower limb amputations were due to this condition.³

Diabetic foot is a syndrome characterized by ulceration, infection, and/or destruction of deep tissues, usually associated with neurological disorders and peripheral vascular disease. As a result of ineffective treatment, there are damages in the lower limbs of people with DM. The periodic examination of the feet for the screening and treatment of disorders is needed, allowing the prevention of possible problems.⁴

The risk evaluation of ulceration investigates the factors that lead to the development of the diabetic foot, through clinical and laboratory tests. Through this strategy, when the nurses assist people with DM, they develop the care plan, recommendations, and necessary referrals, necessary in the Nursing consultation to ensure comprehensive care.^{4,5}

The clinical examination should identify the risk factors predisposing to ulceration, evaluating the plantar protective sensitivity and checking indicative signs of pre-ulceration, as well as dermato-functional disorders, which contribute to the emergence of deformities and foot injuries, preventing the development of complications of DM.⁶

As the exclusive responsibility of the nurse, the Nursing consultation must accurately identify people with DM who are at risk of ulcerations. Thus, the detailed evaluation of the feet is characterized as a primary step in screening the risk of complications in the feet. During the consultation, the nurse must identify the dermatological, musculoskeletal, vascular and neurological changes through rigorous inspection and palpation.⁶⁷

This research is relevant since the situational diagnosis can guide the practice of nurses in primary care to implement the stratification of the risk of foot ulceration, comprehensive care, and self-care guidelines in the routine of care for people with D/M, contributing to the prevention of foot ulceration.

Therefore, this study aims to assess the risk of foot ulceration in people with diabetes *mellitus* who were assisted in primary care.

METHOD

This is an analytical cross-sectional study carried out in *Teresina, Piauí*, in five basic health units (UBSs) in the health center *"Centro-Norte"* from February to August 2019 by having a greater number of people with DM.⁸

The sample had 2,000 patients with DM registered in the *Hiperdia* Program and who performed routine consultations at the health units.⁸ Patients older than 18 years old diagnosed with DM were included and those with active ulceration and/or neuropathy

attributed to other conditions were excluded, for example, paraplegia, tetraplegia, or leprosy.

To calculate the sample, we used the formula for estimating the population proportion for finite populations.⁹ The confidence level adopted was 95% ($\tilde{o} = 1.96$), the assumed prevalence of 40% (p = 0.4), the complementary prevalence of 60% (q = 0.6) and the maximum error of 5% (e = 0.05), totaling the sample of 308 participants. Sample selection was non-probabilistic for convenience and there was no sample loss.

The data collection was in two stages. In the first stage, the form was filled out with patients using the adapted form for clinical evaluation of lower limbs for the prevention of diabetic foot prepared by Mello, Pires, and Kede, to survey the sociodemographic and clinical aspects of people with DM.¹⁰

In the second stage, a clinical examination (history, the examination of the feet and reflex tests of the ankle, tuning fork, and monofilament) was carried out to track the predictive factors of foot ulceration, using a form prepared by the authors. In this stage, the classification of the level of risk for ulceration was carried out based on the classification of The International Working Groupon the Diabetic Foot. Grade 0 means the absence of loss of protective sensitivity (PSP) or peripheral arterial disease (PAD) (very low risk); Grade 1 in PSP or DAP (low risk); Grade 2 in PSP and DAP or PSP and deformities in the feet or DAP and deformity in the feet (moderate risk); and Grade 3 in PSP or DAP with a history of ulcer or amputation in the feet (high risk).¹¹

The patients who met the inclusion criteria were approached individually and invited to participate in the research. The data were collected in a reserved place and the two stages were at the same time. The independent variables were sociodemographic, clinical and those related to the clinical examination of the feet. The dependent variable was the risk of ulceration.

When approaching the patients, we had guidance about the procedure and the sensations they could manifest. Then, the participants were positioned on a chair or stretcher and the use of each instrument was demonstrated. Then, they were asked to close their eyes so that there was no interference in the responses. The 10 g monofilament was touched in the first, third, and fifth metatarsals and the posterior distal phalanx of the hallux. After the application at each point, the participant was asked if he identified any sensation. Three applications were made to ensure the veracity of the answers, one of which was simulated. The perception of the protective sensitivity to the 10 g monofilament would be normal if two responses from the three applications were correct. The exam was considered altered when at least one of the tested points showed no sensitivity.⁴

During the evaluation of the vibratory sensitivity, the distal end of the 128 Hz tuning fork was struck, perpendicularly to the anterior part of the distal phalanx of the hallux. The object was used twice effectively and once simulated. The test was considered normal when the participant showed sensitivity to vibration at least twice out of three attempts. $\!\!\!^4$

During the reflex of the calcaneus tendon, the person should have the lower limbs hanging. Dorsiflexion of the foot was performed by the examiner and, shortly thereafter, the calcaneus tendon was struck with the neurological hammer. If plantar flexion occurred, the test was considered normal; and it was considered changed when the person did not outline the movement.⁴

The data were tabulated in Microsoft Excel version 2013 and processed in the Software Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 22.0. We used the double typing technique with checking and cleaning the database, using Microsoft Excel version 2013. For the data analysis, descriptive and inferential statistics were performed. In descriptive statistics, we calculated absolute frequency and percentage. In inferential statistics, Pearson's chi-square and Fisher's exact tests verified the association between categorical variables. The strength of association between categorical variables was identified by the prevalence ratio (PR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant. We adopted clinical importance as a criterion for selecting reference categories.

This study followed the ethical precepts of Resolution 466/2012, and the Ethics and Research Committee (CEP) of the *Universidade Federal of Piauí* approved it with CAAE 93628818400005214 and Opinion 2,817,426.

RESULTS

In the 308 participants, 56.5% were over 60 years old, 72.1% were female, 71.4% declared to be brown. Regarding marital status, 61.4% had a partner. Incomplete elementary education was in 45.1% of the participants. Also, 31.5% were retired and 64.3% received up to one minimum wage.

The evaluation of clinical aspects revealed 94.8% of patients with type 2 DM; 60.1% did not have the disease for more than 10 years; 59.7% did not perform glycemic control; 72.7% were hypertensive; 67.2% had dyslipidemia, 56.2% did not practice physical activity; and 51.3% were overweight, shown in Table 1.

Of the DM patients assisted in primary care, 86% were never submitted to a feet clinical examination, 65.3% had dry skin and 82.8% had no deformities. The test showed 57.8% of patients with normal ankle reflexes, 54.2% with changes in the perception of vibration, and 65.3% with normal sensitivity to monofilament. The risk of ulceration was grade 1 for 54.2% of patients, shown in Table 2.

Patients without a partner were more likely to have foot ulcers (PR: 1.64; CI: 1.01–2.68; p = 0.047). Their occupation had also a statistically significant association with the risk of foot ulceration (p = 0.033), as seen in Table 3.

The variables related to clinical aspects showed a statistically significant association with the foot ulceration risk, except for

Variables		%
Type of diabetes		
Diabetes <i>mellitus</i> type 1	16	5.2
Diabetes <i>mellitus</i> type 2	292	94.8
Diabetes for more than 10 years		
Yes	123	39.9
No	185	60.1
Blood glucose control		
Yes	124	40.3
No	184	59.7
Systemic arterial hypertension		
Yes	224	72.7
No	84	27.3
Dyslipidemia		
Yes	207	67.2
No	101	32.8
Practicing physical activity		
Yes	135	43.8
No	173	56.2
Body mass		
Normal weight	66	21.4
Overweight	158	51.3
Grade 1 obesity	70	22.7
Grade 2 obesity	12	4.0
Grade 3 obesity	2	0.6

Table 1 - Clinical aspects of patients with	diabetes mellitus ass	isted in
primary care. Teresina, Piauí - Brazil, 2019 (n:	=308)	

the type of diabetes (p = 0.784) and the practice of physical activity (p = 0.262). Patients with diabetes for more than 10 years (PR: 2.92; CI: 1.69-5.05; p <0.001), with inadequate glycemic control (PR: 3.16; Cl: 1.91- 5.23; p <0.001), hypertensive (PR: 1.75; CI: 1.03-2.95; p = 0.036), with dyslipidemia (PR: 2.26; CI: 1.36-3.75; p = 0.002) and obese (PR: 2.50; CI: 1.34-4.66; p = 0.003) were more likely to have foot ulcers, as shown in Table 4.

We Found that the clinical examination of the feet (PR: 0.49; CI: 0.25-0.96; p = 0.049) and the plantar protective sensitivity preserved at 10 g monofilament (PR: 0.02; Cl: 0.01-0.09; p < 0.001) were protective factors against foot ulceration. However, those with dry skin (PR: 3.13; Cl: 1.88–5.19; p <0.001), deformities (PR: 6.68; Cl: 2.70–21.85; p <0.001) , ankle reflex (PR: 10.72; CI: 5.27-21.79; p <0.001) and perception of hallux vibration (PR: 6.23; CI: 3.76-10.33; p < 0.001) abnormalities were more likely to ulcerate the feet, as shown in Table 5.

DISCUSSION

Most participants were female, retired, with a partner, and having a low income. A study developed addressing the same theme Table 2 - Feet clinical examination of patients with diabetes mellitus assisted in primary care and stratification of the risk of ulceration. Teresina, Piauí -Brazil, 2019 (n=308)

Variables		%
Feet clinical examination		
Yes	43	14.0
No	265	86.0
Skin appearance		
Dry skin	201	65.3
Normal skin	107	34.7
Deformities		
Yes	53	17.2
No	255	82.8
Ankle reflex		
Altered	130	42.2
Normal	178	57.8
Perception of vibration		
Normal	141	45.8
Altered	167	54.2
Sensitivity to monofilament		
Normal	201	65.3
Altered	107	34.7
Risk of ulceration		
Grade0	98	31.8
Grade1	167	54.2
Grade2	10	3.3
Grade3	33	10.7

Table 3 - Association of sociodemographic aspects with the risk of foot ulceration in patients with diabetes mellitus. Teresina, Piauí - Brazil, 2019 (n=308)

		ceration				
Variables				CI 95%		
Elderly						
Yes*	123 (70.7)	51 (29.3)	1.14	0.70 - 1.85	0.619ª	
No	91 (67.9)	43 (32.1)				
Gender						
Male	58 (67.4)	28 (32.6)	0.87	0.51 - 1.49	0.680ª	
Female*	156 (70.3)	66 (29.7)				
Marital status						
With a partner	138 (73.0)	51 (27.0)	1.64	1.01 - 2.68	0.047ª	
Without a partner*	76 (63.9)	43 (36.1)				
Occupation						
Unemployed	2 (25.0)	6 (75.0)	_	_	0.033 ^b	
Employed	57 (68.7)	26 (31.3)				
Housewife	60 (66.7)	30 (33.3)				
Retired	72 (74.2)	25 (25.8)				
Other	23 (76.7)	7 (23.3)				

* Reference category.

^aPearson's Chi-square test;

^bFisher's exact test.

Table 4 - Association	n of clinical aspects	with the risk of foo	ot ulceration in p	patients with	diabetes melli	itus assisted	in primary c	are. <i>Teresina,</i>	Piauí -	Brazil,
2019 (n=308)										

		ceration			p-value	
Variables			PR	CI 95%		
	n (%)	n (%)				
Type of diabetes						
Diabetes mellitus type 1	12 (75.0)	4 (25.0)	1.33	0.42 - 4.25	0.784	
Diabetes <i>mellitus</i> type 2*	202 (69.2)	90 (30.8)				
Diabetes for more than 10 years						
Yes*	101 (82.1)	22 (17.9)	2.92	1.69 - 5.05	<0.001	
No	113 (61.1)	72 (38.9)				
Glycemic control						
Yes	68 (54.8)	56 (45.2)	3.16	1.91 - 5.23	<0.001	
No*	146 (79.3)	38 (20.7)				
Systemic arterial hypertension						
Yes*	163 (72.8)	61 (27.2)	1.75	1.03 - 2.95	0.036	
No	51 (60.7)	33 (39.3)				
Dyslipidemia						
Yes*	156 (75.4)	51 (24.6)	2.26	1.36 - 3.75	0.002	
No	58 (57.4)	43 (42.6)				
Physical activity						
Yes	89 (65.9)	46 (34.1)	1.34	0.82 - 2.19	0.262	
No*	125 (72.3)	48 (27.7)				
Obesity						
Yes*	69 (82.1)	15 (17.9)	2.50	1.34 - 4.66	0.003	
No	145 (64.7)	79 (35.3)				

* Reference category.

Pearson's Chi-square test.

also showed the prevalence of DM in women.¹² The predominance of females might be because culturally, women are more careful with their health, a fact that is confirmed by the high demand of this public for health services. Also, in the self-care for the prevention of diabetic foot, men had greater deficits compared to women.¹³

Elderly people were predominant, corroborating research carried out in *Rio Grande do Sul* with diabetic patients that a large part was 60 to 69 years old.¹² Low education prevailed and, according to research developed in *Paraná*, the fact that the person a low level of education contributes to ignorance of the disease and adherence to therapy difficult.¹⁴

Most participants had type 2 DM for less than 10 years and did not perform glycemic control, a situation found in a study in which type 2 DM was prevalent in 95% of patients, and 40.6% had a time of diagnosis of the disease from zero to six years. The most-reported comorbidities evidenced in this study were systemic arterial hypertension (SAH) and dyslipidemia, conditions frequently associated with DM, especially in the elderly population. In their lifestyle, most of them were sedentary and overweight was associated with it. People with DM complications have less quality of life, reinforcing the importance of controlling these comorbidities associated with DM and the routine evaluation of the feet to prevent ulceration.¹² Most of the participants hada classification of grade 10f the risk of foot ulceration, which indicates PSP or PAD and low risk of ulceration. The frequency of clinical evaluation of the feet of these patients must be done by the nurse or primary care physician every six to 12 months.¹¹ Research conducted in São Paulo found that 66% of diabetic patients had grade 1 for the risk of ulceration, corroborating this study.⁶ The risk classification can screen the factors predisposing to ulceration, which enables early interventions, reducing the number of lower-limb amputations.

In the association of marital status and the risk of foot ulceration, we found that participants without a partner are more likely to develop ulceration in the lower limbs. The presence of a partner contributes positively to the treatment of the disease when overcoming difficulties by providing emotional support, essential in the treatment of DM.^{15,16}

The type of occupation interferes with the risk of foot ulceration. This fact is because most of the participants are retired by age. This finding converges with a study that indicated the predominance of retirees and pensioners.¹² Labor activities, depending on the workload, can also cause ulcerations by causing plantar pressure, reinforcing the importance of using customized shoes, toe spacers and seamless socks to relieve plantar pressure.⁷⁷

		lceration				
Variables			PR	CI 95%	p-value	
Feet clinical examination						
Yes*	24 (55.8)	19 (44.2)	0.49	0.25 - 0.96	0.049	
No	190 (71.7)	75 (28.3)				
Skinappearance						
Dryskin*	157 (78.1)	44 (21.9)	3.13	1.88 - 5.19	< 0.001	
Normalskin	57 (53.3)	50 (46.7)				
Deformities						
Yes*	49 (92.5)	4 (7.5)	6.68	2.70 - 21.85	< 0.001	
No	165 (64.7)	90 (35.3)				
Anklereflex						
Altered*	120 (92.3)	10 (7.7)	10.72	5.27 - 21.79	< 0.001	
Normal	94 (52.8)	84 (47.2)				
Perception of vibration						
Altered*	152 (91.0)	15 (9.0)	6.23	3.76 - 10.33	< 0.001	
Normal	62 (44.0)	79 (56.0)				
Sensitivity to mono filament						
Altered	105 (98.1)	2 (1.9)	0.02	0.01 - 0.09	< 0.001	
Normal*	109 (54.2)	92 (45.8)				

Table 5 - Association of the variables of the clinical examination of the feet with the risk of ulceration in patients with diabetes *mellitus* assisted in primary care. *Teresina, Piaul* - Brazil, 2019 (n=308)

* Reference category.

Pearson's Chi-square test.

Patients with DM for more than 10 years are more likely to develop foot ulcers. This is explained by the persistence of high glycemic indexes for a longer period.¹⁸ In this investigation, SAH, dyslipidemia, and obesity showed a statistically significant association with the risk of foot ulceration, justifying that SAHassociated with DM substantially increase the appearance of diseases at the vascular level, contributing to the appearance of lesions in the lower limbs. Obesity and dyslipidemia are associated with poor diet and physical inactivity, which also hinder glycemic control, increasing the risk of foot ulceration.⁵¹⁹

People with DM tend to have dry skin because they lose more fluid than those without the disease. Being elderly also contributes to this condition. Thus, during the evaluation of the lower limbs, we need to observe the hydration of the feet and, in the case of dryness, the nurse must guide the use of moisturizers for the skin to prevent cracks and ulcerations,^{4,14} since in this study, patients with dry skin were 3.13 times more likely to develop foot ulcers.

People with deformities in their feet were 6.68 times more likely to ulcerate and this is due to this complication compromising the cutaneous integrity of the limb. Foot deformity is characterized by the development of bony prominences, claw toes, and the appearance of bunions, and, in most cases, it is painful. These clinical signs are severe and are related to diabetic neuropathy.^{20,21}

The clinical examination of the feet proved to be a protective factor against the development of ulceration. However, most people with DM were never subjected to this examination. The main changes were in the perception of vibration in the hallux and in the ankle reflex, which is caused by the deterioration of peripheral nerve endings, resulting in more vulnerability of these people to foot trauma. The loss of the sensation of vibration and the ankle reflex is a significant risk factor for the development of foot ulcers, as these clinical manifestations are associated with diabetic neuropathy.^{22,23}

The change in the perception of hallux vibration increased the probability of foot ulceration by 6.23 times, reinforcing the relevance of this test in screening the risk of ulceration. Despite being recommended by the Brazilian Ministry of Health,⁴ the hallux vibration perception test performed using the 128 Hz tuning fork is little used by Primary Care nurses to perform the feet clinical examination in people with DM in the Teresina city scenario.

Diabetic patients with altered ankle reflexes were 10.72 times more likely to develop foot ulcers. The ankle reflex test can track peripheral nerve involvement and detect chronic neuropathy. However, in other studies, this alteration identified with the use of the neurological hammer is not indicated as the main sign of diabetic neuropathy.^{4,24} As it is a predictive factor for foot ulceration as in this study, this test should be performed in the care of diabetic people to ensure a complete foot clinical examination.

People with normal sensitivity to the 10 g monofilament test had a protective factor for foot ulcers. The 10 g monofilament is the material most used by nurses for the screening of diabetic feet in Primary Care because it is part of the skin sensitivity evaluation kit in the clinical examination of leprosy and they have access to this device. We can emphasize that 10 g monofilament is effective in screening for diabetic neuropathy, one of the main factors related to ulceration, as it evaluates plantar protective sensitivity, in addition to being painless, simple, fast, low cost and easy to apply.²⁵

Thus, by the importance of the clinical examination of the feet, the nurse in Primary Care should organize the routine of evaluating the feet of diabetic patients in their clientele, aiming at detecting neurological, vascular and dermatological alterations early, in addition to checking other aggravating factors that may contribute to the ulcerative process. In this context, health education focused on self-care should be reinforced with every contact with the patient to prevent ulceration in the feet, since most risk factors are modifiable.⁷

Because it is a cross-sectional study, the impossibility of establishing the cause and effect relationship of the problem stands out as a limitation of the research.

CONCLUSION

We observed that most people with DM had a low risk of foot ulceration since grade 1 prevailed in 54.2% of patients. The classification of the risk of ulceration is a tool that guides the scheduling of the periodicity of the clinical examination of the feet, with a frequency of reassessment from one to 12 months, depending on the degree of risk that is essential for the continuity of the assistance and early intervention for the prevention of foot ulceration.

We identified that having DM for more than 10 years, inadequate glycemic control, SAH, dyslipidemia, and obesity increase the probability of foot ulceration, highlighting the importance of guidelines for adherence to therapy and healthy lifestyle habits.

Even though the feet clinical examination is the main practice for the prevention of foot ulceration in patients with DM, most reported that they have never been submitted to this care, emphasizing that Nursing care needs to improve. We concluded that dry skin, deformities in the feet, and changes in vibratory sensitivity and ankle reflex increase the probability of ulceration in the feet. Therefore, there is a need for comprehensive care through a complete foot clinical examination to these people with DM for the prevention of diabetic ulcers.

REFERENCES

- International Diabetes Federation. Diabetes Atlas. 6th ed. Brussels: International Diabetes Federation; 2013.
- Singh N, Armstrong DG, Lipsky BA. Preventing foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. JAMA. 2005[cited 2019 Apr 20];293(2):217-28. Available from: https:// jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/200119
- Vieira-Santos ICR, Souza WV, Carvalho EF, Medeiros MCWC, Nóbrega MGL, Lima PMS. Prevalência de pé diabético e fatores associados nas unidades de saúde da família da cidade de Recife, Pernambuco, Brasil, 2005. Cad Saúde Pública. 2008[cited 2019 Apr 20];24(12):2861-70. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/ csp/v24n12/15.pdf

- 4. Ministério da Saúde (BR). Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde, Departamento de Atenção Básica. Manual do pé diabético: estratégias para o cuidado da pessoa com doença crônica. Brasília; 2016[cited 2019 May 08]. Available from: http:// biblioteca.cofen.gov.br/manual-do-pe-diabetico-estrategias-para-o-cuidado-dapessoa-com-doenca-cronica/
- Dutra LMA, Novaes MRCG, Melo MC, Veloso DLC, Faustino DL, Sousa LMS. Avaliação do risco de ulceração em indivíduos diabéticos. Rev Bras Enferm. 2018[cited 2019 Nov 05];71(2):733-9. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo. php?pid=S0034-71672018000800733&script=sci_arttext&tlng=pt
- Lucoveis MLS, Gamba MA, Paula MAB, Morita ABPS. Grau de risco para úlceras nos pés por diabetes: avaliação de Enfermagem. Rev Bras Enferm. 2018[cited 2019 Nov 05];71(6):3217-23. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/reben/v71n6/ pt_0034-7167-reben-71-06-3041.pdf
- Ochoa-Vigo K, Pace AE. Pé diabético: estratégias para prevenção. Acta Paul Enferm. 2005[cited 2019 Mar 25];18(1):100-9. Available from: http://www.scielo. br/pdf/ape/v18n1/a14v18n1
- Fundação Municipal de Saúde de Teresina. Unidades Básicas de Saúde. Teresina: FMS; s.d.[cited 2019 Apr 18]. Available from: http://www.fms.teresina.pigov.br/ubs
- Luchesa CJ, Chaves Neto A. Cálculo do tamanho da amostra nas pesquisas em Administração. 21ª ed. Curitiba: Unicuritiba; 2011[cited 2019 May 05]. Available from: https://www.unicuritiba.edu.br/images/calculo_do_tamanho_da_amostra_-_ texto_final_para_impressapso1.pdf
- Mello RFA, Pires MLE, Kede J. Ficha de avaliação clínica de membros inferiores para prevenção do pé diabético. Rev Pesqui Cuid Fundam online. 2017[cited 2019 Jan 10];9(3):899-913. Available from: http://www.seer.unirio.br/index.php/ cuidadofundamental/article/view/5468
- Schaper NC, Netten JJN, Bus JASA, Hinchlife RJ, Lipsky BAL. The International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot. IWGDL Guidelines 2019. Utrecht: IWGDL; 2019[cited 2019 Jan 10]. Available from: https://iwgdfguidelines.org/wp-content/ uploads/2019/05/IWGDF-Guidelines-2019.pdf
- Moreschi C, Rempel C, Siqueira DF, Backes DS, Pissaia LF, Grave MTQ. Estratégias Saúde da Família: perfil/qualidade de vida de pessoas com diabetes. Rev Bras Enferm. 2018[cited 2019 Aug 10];71(6):2899-906. Available from: https://www. scielo.br/pdf/reben/v71n6/pt_0034-7167-reben-71-06-2899.pdf
- Rossaneis MA, Haddad MCFL, Mathias TAF, Marcon SS. Diferenças entre mulheres e homens diabéticos no autocuidado com os pés e estilo de vida. Rev Latino-Am Enferm. 2016[cited 2019 Feb 13];24(1):e2761. Available from: https://www.scielo. br/pdf/rlae/v24/pt_0104-1169-rlae-24-02761.pdf
- Teston EF, Senteio JS, Ribeiro BMSS, Maran E, Marcon SS. Fatores de risco para ulceração no pé de indivíduos com diabetes *mellitus* tipo 2. Cogitare Enferm. 2017[cited 2019 Aug 18];22(4):e51508. Available from: https://revistas.ufpr.br/ cogitare/article/view/51508/pdf
- Medeiros ABA, Andriola IC, Fernades MICD, Silva FBBL, Sá JD, Lira ALBC. Perfil socioeconômico de pessoas com úlcera venosa: aspectos relevantes para a Enfermagem. Rev Enferm UFPE Online. 2013[cited 2019 Jan 21];7(8):5220-4. Available from: https:// periodicos.ufpe.br/revistas/revistaenfermagem/article/view/11796/14170
- Almeida WA, Ferreira AM, Ivo ML, Rigotti MA, Barcelos LS, Silva ALNV. Fatores associados à qualidade de vida de pessoas com feridas complexas crônicas. Rev Pesqui Cuid Fundam online. 2018[cited 2019]an 15];10(1):9-16. Available from: http://www.seer. uniriobr/index.php/cuidadofundamental/article/download/5917/pdf
- Ministério da Saúde (BR). Secretaria de Estado de Saúde. Comissão Permanente de Protocolos de Atenção à Saúde. Protocolo de Manejo do Pé Diabético na Atenção Primária e Especializada de Saúde. Brasília: Secretaria de Estado de Saúde; 2018[cited 2019 Nov 21]. Available from: http://www.saude.df.gov.br/wpconteudo/uploads/2018/04/PROTOCOLO-PE-DIABETICO.pdf
- Paula DB, Martins DA, Lara MO, Stuchi RAG, Lima AMJ, Azevedo DSS. Avaliação dos pés em indivíduos portadores de diabetes atendidos em uma unidade de Atenção Primária. Rev Enferm UFPE Online. 2016[cited 2019 Nov 20];10(6):4751-6. Available from: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a341/2cd331f86822a21cdb09445 d979972ccd1f1.pdf
- Francisco PMSB, Segri NJ, Borim FSA, Malta DC. Prevalência simultânea de hipertensão e diabetes em idosos brasileiros: desigualdades individuais e contextuais. Ciênc Saúde Colet. 2018[cited 2019 Nov 21];23(11):3829-40. Available from: https://www.scielo.br/pdf/csc/v23n11/1413-8123-csc-23-11-3829.pdf

- Molines-Barroso RJ, Lazaro-Martinez JL, Aragon-Sanchez FJ, Alvaro-Afonso FJ, Garcia-Morales E, Garcia-Alvarez Y. Fore foot ulcer riskis associated with foot type in patients with diabetes and neuropathy. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2016[cited 2019 Nov 28];114(1):93–8. Available from: https://www.ncbinlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26810268
- López-Moral M, Lázaro-Martínez JL, García-Morales E, García-Álvarez Y, Álvaro-Afonso FJ, Molines-Barroso RJ. Clinical efficacy of therapeutic footwear with a rigid rocker sole in the prevention of recurrence in patients with diabetes *mellitus* and diabetic polineuropathy: a randomized clinical trial. PLoS One. 2019[cited 2019 Nov 28];14(7): e0219537. Available from: https://www.ncbinlmnih.gov/pubmed/31295292
- Ennion L, Hijmans J. Improving vibration perception in a patient with type 2 diabetes and sensory peripheral neuropathy. S Afr J Physiother. 2019[cited 2019 Nov 20]; 75(1):1-4. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlmn.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6676981/
- Acuña JMR, Cadena SAC, Salas PAM, Veloz IG, Favila AP, Baez MAC, et al. Diabetic Foot Ulcers: current advances in antimicrobial therapies and emerging treatments. Antibiotics. 2019[cited 2019 Nov 20];8(4):1-32. Available from: https://www.mdpi. com/2079-6382/8/4/193/pdf
- Cardoso HC, Zara ALSA, Rosa SSRF, Rocha GA, Rocha JVC, Araújo MCE, et al. Risk factors and diagnosis of diabetic foot ulceration in users of the Brazilian public health system. J Diabetes Res. 2019[cited 2019 Nov 28];5319892(1):1-7. Available from: https:// doi.org/10.1155/2019/5319892
- Baraz S, Zarea K, Shahbazian HB, Latifi SM. Comparison of the accuracy of monofilament testing at various points of feet in peripheral diabetic neuropathy screening. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2014[cited 2020 Apr 20];13(19):1-7. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmid/24472435/