

Transversal: International Journal for the Historiography of Science, 2025 (18): 1-16

ISSN 2526-2270

Belo Horizonte – MG / Brazil

© The Authors 2025 – This is an open-access journal

Article

Suitability of a Historical Research Design in a History Research

Josephat Nairutia Kemei¹ [<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4911-3378>]

Kizito Muchanga Lusambili² [<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5430-4558>]

Kenneth Kaunda Odulwa³ [<http://orcid.org/0009-0004-7682-9402>]

Pascaliala Okoba⁴ [<http://orcid.org/0009-0004-9606-972X>]

Abstract:

Historical research design provides a systematic framework ideal for examining historical events, people, and phenomena. It emphasizes chronological organization, enabling researchers to trace historical processes over time. Source analysis is central, promoting critical evaluation of primary and secondary sources to ensure accuracy and reliability. The design situates events within broader social, cultural, political, and economic contexts, fostering nuanced understanding. It facilitates causal analysis and comparative studies to identify patterns and contrasts across different historical situations. Longitudinal studies allow for the examination of developments over extended periods, while narrative construction ensures coherence and meaning. Interdisciplinary approaches further enrich historical inquiry by integrating insights from multiple fields. Beyond academia, historical research design aids in preserving collective memory by guiding the documentation and interpretation of the past. Its emphasis on critical analysis ensures methodological rigor, supporting accurate and meaningful contributions to historical scholarship.

1

Keywords: Design; Historical; Research; Suitability

Received: April 29, 2025. Reviewed: May 15, 2025. Accepted: May 30, 2025.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24117/2526-2270.2025.i18.10>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Introduction

Due to its ability to offer an organised and methodical approach to understanding the past, historical research design is deemed appropriate for use in history research for multiple reasons (Vansina 1965). In order to comprehend historical events and support or contradict historical hypotheses, historical research designs aim to collect, validate, and synthesise

¹ Josephat Nairutia Kemei is a PhD Candidate of History in the Department of Social Science Education at Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology- Kakamega-Kenya Address: P.O BOX, 190-50100, Kakamega-Kenya Email: josephatkemei@gmail.com

² Kizito Muchanga Lusambili is a Senior Lecturer of History in the Department of Social Science Education at Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology- Kakamega-Kenya. Address: P.O BOX, 190-50100, Kakamega-Kenya. Email: kmuchanga@mmust.ac.ke

³ Kenneth Kaunda Odulwa is a Lecturer of History in the Department of Social Science Education at Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology (Butula Campus)- Kakamega-Kenya. Address: P.O BOX, 190-50100, Kakamega-Kenya. Email: odulwakenneth@yahoo.com

⁴ Pascaliala Okoba is a master's Candidate of History in the Department of Social Science Education at Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology- Kakamega-Kenya. Address: P.O BOX, 190-50100, Kakamega-Kenya. Email: okoba.pascaliala@gmail.com

historical evidence (Vansina 1965). Due to its ability to improve comprehension of the present, historical research designs are especially pertinent to studies concerning modern social and cultural issues. The social and historical context of the past is inextricably linked to any issue that arises now (DeBoer 2000).

Institutions can obtain factual information, chronological data, and other pertinent information related to their interests by using historical research methodologies (Howell and Prevenier 2001). However, historical research does more than just gather data about the past; it also gives institutions important historical context for understanding contemporary social, political, and cultural phenomena.

For instance, the history of world politics is present in the present. Researching the past provides a crucial context for comprehending contemporary culture. It can provide insight into global ideas like international relations and the formulation of foreign policy (Baylis, 2020). Leaders can make well-informed decisions that affect the economy, society, and culture by studying historical events.

Consider the Industrial Revolution as an example. Putting the current global order in context can be achieved by studying the history of the development of industry in the West (Van Zanden 2009). The historical events of that era demonstrate how, in the ensuing decades and centuries, the United States and other early industrial system designers dominated the world stage (Van Zanden 2009). Similar radical changes are being brought about in international politics and society by the digital revolution (Van Zanden 2009). In order to give future generations enlightening historical insights, historians are essential in documenting and analysing data regarding these trends, utilising historical research techniques. Studying history not only imparts valuable knowledge about international and economic issues, but it also brings to light the viewpoints of individuals and communities that overcame hardship. For instance, the past struggles for liberty and equality—like the campaign for women's suffrage or the end of the Jim Crow South—provide pertinent background for contemporary initiatives, like the criminal justice reform movement (Stearns et. al. 2000). The story of a people or region's collective identity is also told via history. Through highlighting the vitality of many cultures and fostering a sense of community, historical research can provide people with the chance to become more powerful and aware of other civilizations.

The main step in historical research methodologies is gathering data from primary and secondary sources. Despite the disparities between these sources, institutions and organisations can evaluate historical events and provide a complete and accurate context by using both kinds of sources (Porra 2014). Historians supply institutions with historical perspectives that can offer insights into the future through historical research methodologies. A master's degree in history, for example, can be pursued by those who want to advance in their careers as historians (Tosh 2015). This degree will teach them how to use digital tools to acquire, access, and manage historical data, as well as help them develop a methodical understanding of historical research. In order to gather information from primary and secondary sources and evaluate how it adds to our understanding of a historical era or event, historians employ historical research techniques (Blossfeld 2001). Primary and secondary sources are consulted when conducting historical research. The following is a discussion on this design's suitability.

Chronological Understanding

Using a historical research design, scientists can arrange phenomena, events, and developments chronologically. This aids in comprehending the chronology of occurrences and how they affected historical processes (Boonstra et al. 2006). Creating a chronological understanding of historical events is largely dependent on the design of historical study. In order to facilitate researchers' ability to arrange and examine historical data in a

chronological fashion, the design integrates particular techniques and approaches. The method of periodization, in which time is split into discrete periods based on noteworthy events, changes, or advancements, is frequently used in historical study. Periodization provides researchers with a foundation for comprehending how history has unfolded in chronological order (Gangatharan 2008). To ascertain their temporal context, researchers critically examine primary and secondary materials.

Historians can accurately pinpoint the chronological order of events by analyzing the dates, contexts, and connections between various sources. Examining the body of previous historical knowledge and literature is a step in the design of historical research. This historiographical examination aids in the understanding of how many historians have categorized events chronologically and interpreted them differently over time (Megill 2007). Having access to original papers and archives is essential to conducting historical research. These resources frequently include dates, timestamps, or other temporal indicators that let historians piece together the events' chronological order. Contextualizing events within their historical context is a key component of historical research design (Allan 2015). Researchers are better able to organise events chronologically and assess their relevance when they have a clear understanding of the larger historical, cultural, social, and political context.

To show the chronological sequence of historical events, researchers can produce visual aids like timelines or event maps. These tools aid in the visualization of the temporal correlations between various events. A historical research design may compare chronologies from various societies or geographical areas (Blossfeld 2001). By using a comparison method, one can better grasp chronological developments by recognizing trends and variances in the timing of events. An important part of historical study is longitudinal studies, which look at events and occurrences over a long period of time. Using this method, scholars can follow the historical processes' chronological development and comprehend how they change over time.

Researchers create narratives that give a cogent and sequential explanation of historical events as part of the design of historical research. This narrative element aids in making the events' progression understandable and significant to a larger audience (Shreiner 2014). Critical examination of the temporal facets of historical narratives is encouraged by historical research design (Carroll 2018). Researchers evaluate the accuracy and dependability of chronological data to make sure the chronology they create is supported by reliable sources of information. By combining these components, historical research design aids academics in solving the historical puzzle and promotes a more thorough and precise chronological understanding of the past.

Causality Exploration

Researchers can investigate the reasons behind historical events as well as their consequences by using a historical research design. This facilitates the recognition of trends, comprehension of motives, and ascertainment of the interdependencies among various historical elements (Vansteenkiste *et al.* 2010). Investigating causation and figuring out the intricate web of cause-and-effect linkages that mould historical events is made possible by historical research design. Research questions are formulated at the outset of historical research design. These inquiries frequently centre on understanding the causes of particular historical occurrences or the elements that influenced such developments. The design directs the investigator in formulating inquiries that explore causal connections (Bhandari *et al.* 2010). Historians formulate hypotheses, or possible causal relationships, between various factors or events, based on research questions. The design directs the gathering of data to confirm or deny these causal linkages and aids in organising the hypotheses.

In order to determine the causal elements, historical research entails the critical investigation of sources. Scholars utilise source triangulation, taking into account several viewpoints and forms of evidence, in order to enhance the dependability of causal

explanations. The significance of contextual understanding is emphasised in the design (Ermakoff 2019). Scholars investigate the social, cultural, political, and economic milieu in which events transpire in order to pinpoint the circumstances and elements that influenced particular results.

Comparative analysis is a typical component of historical research design, enabling researchers to analyse various historical situations and pinpoint similarities and variations in the causative elements (Donatella 2008). This comparative method helps identify patterns of causality in many contexts.

An essential component of historical research design is longitudinal research. Researchers can follow the development of causal factors and comprehend their role in historical change by studying events and developments across time (Menard 2002). Counterfactual analysis is a type of historical research design in which researchers examine possible outcomes by varying specific variables or occurrences (Levy 2015). This aids in separating out and comprehending the distinct causal contributions of various factors.

Research on the past frequently incorporates knowledge from other fields. Through the use of an interdisciplinary method, researchers are able to examine causality from a variety of angles and include sociological, political, cultural, and economic aspects in their findings (Calhoun and Rhoten 2010). The structure promotes the finding and examination of causal networks and chains. Researchers follow the relationships between variables and events to show how a causal sequence of events or conditions led to another. The design of historical research encourages critical analysis of causality (Calhoun and Rhoten 2010). Researchers are taught to challenge presumptions, take into account different scenarios, and evaluate the quality of the data supporting causal assertions.

Conceptual frameworks are frequently created by researchers as part of historical study designs. These frameworks offer a theoretical framework for comprehending interactions between variables, which aids in organising and structuring the investigation of causation (Imenda 2014). In conclusion, historical research design offers an organised and methodical framework for investigating historical events' causal relationships. It helps academics formulate questions, create hypotheses, evaluate sources, and put historical events in context—all of which help them arrive at a more complex understanding of the forces that shaped history.

Source Analysis

The critical evaluation of primary and secondary sources is a common practice in historical research. An accurate historical narrative must take into account the sources' legitimacy, dependability, and biases, all of which may be assessed by researchers thanks to the design (Danto 2008). The act of directing researchers through source analysis, a crucial aspect of historical inquiry, requires a strong understanding of historical research design. To glean important historical information, source analysis entails assessing and analysing old records and materials (Tosh 2015). The creation of research questions aids in the application of a design for historical research. These inquiries aid in the researcher's identification of pertinent sources that can address certain concerns regarding historical occurrences, figures, or phenomena.

Researchers can more easily determine the kinds of sources that are pertinent to their work thanks to the design (Miller and Salkind 2002). Primary sources (documents, artefacts, eyewitness reports) and secondary sources (books, articles, and other historians' assessments) may be examples of this. The design helps researchers choose sources that support their objectives. Strategic source selection is a key component of historical research design (Richey and Klein 2014). Scholars meticulously choose sources that offer a variety of ideas, span a number of historical periods, and provide unique perspectives. The analysis's depth and richness are improved by this deliberate sampling.

Historians emphasise the value of contextual understanding in their research design. Accurate interpretation of sources depends on an analysis of them within their historical, cultural, social, and political contexts. Researchers are guided in their consideration of the circumstances underlying the production of each source by the design (Platt 1999). Triangulation, or the process of cross-referencing data from several sources to support and validate historical conclusions, is encouraged by the design. Scholars employ a methodical approach to compare and contrast sources in order to detect biases, omissions, inconsistencies, and consistencies (Yegge 2014). A critical approach to source analysis is encouraged by historical research design. Scholars are advised to evaluate each source's bias, credibility, and dependability. To assess the reliability of the material, they look at the viewpoint of the author, the goal of the source, any possible motives, and the target audience.

Research on the past frequently incorporates knowledge from other fields. Scholars may utilise approaches from different disciplines, such as literary analysis, anthropology, and archaeology, to deepen their source analysis and provide a more thorough interpretation of historical data (Hodder and Hutson 2003). Strategies for gaining access to and making use of archival materials could be part of the design. To find primary sources relevant to their study topics, researchers are assisted in exploring archives, libraries, and digital repositories.

An understanding of historiography—the study of historical writing—is included in historical research design. Scholars investigate the many ways in which historians have construed and applied sources throughout history (Argyres *et al.* 2020). This aids in placing their analysis into the larger context of academic debate. Considerations for utilising technology in source analysis may be incorporated into the design of modern historical research. Using digital archives, text mining, and other computational methods to organise and examine enormous collections of old documents could be part of this (Wyche *et al.* 2006). These components enable researchers to analyse sources in a methodical and structured way, extracting important information, creating accurate historical narratives, and adding to our understanding of the past. This is how historical research design works.

Contextual Understanding

The design of historical research places a strong emphasis on contextual knowledge. In order to provide a more thorough understanding of the past, researchers look at the social, cultural, political, and economic settings surrounding historical events (Borch and Arthur 1995). In order to help researchers place historical events, people, and phenomena into their larger social, cultural, political, economic, and chronological contexts, historical research design is essential to promoting contextual understanding. Research questions are formulated at the outset of historical research design. Researchers are frequently prompted to think about the historical context of historical events by these questions. Questions that promote investigation of the more general contexts in which historical occurrences took place are formulated according to the design (Porra *et al.* 2014).

The layout highlights how crucial it is to frame historical study in a particular context. When defining the chronological and spatial bounds of their research, researchers are advised to take into account the historical context that shaped the events or subjects of their study. The design of historical research entails choosing sources that shed light on the historical environment. Scholars meticulously select primary and secondary materials that provide insights into the social, cultural, political, and economic contexts pertinent to their research.

In historical study design, interdisciplinary approaches are frequently used by researchers, who incorporate ideas from political science, geography, sociology, and anthropology. This blending of viewpoints offers a more comprehensive understanding of historical events, which enhances contextual comprehension (Miller 1982). Comparative

analysis components may be incorporated into the design, enabling researchers to contrast and compare various scenarios. Through comparing and contrasting various places or eras, scholars acquire a more profound comprehension of how historical developments are influenced by context (Bartlett and Vavrus 2016). Longitudinal studies are studies that look at events and trends over a longer time span and are frequently incorporated into historical research designs (Bartlett and Vavrus 2016).

Researchers can better grasp the changing dynamics of historical circumstances and track changes in context over time with the use of this longitudinal approach. The historical research design is a tool used by researchers to direct their understanding of the cultural factors that shaped historical events (Menard 2002). Examining language, practices, ideologies, and other facets of culture that offer background information can help us comprehend the intentions and deeds of historical figures (Menard 2002). Using techniques for gaining access to and making use of archive materials, the design might enable scholars to examine documents that provide context for their creation. Through archival research, one can directly connect the items to their historical setting.

Historical geography may be taken into account while designing a historical research project in order to examine the geographical aspects of historical events. This entails being aware of the physical surroundings, national borders, and how geography has influenced past events (Sauer 1941). Critical examination of the sources and supporting data employed in the study is encouraged by historical research design (Sauer 1941). Scholars are advised to assess sources' biases and dependability cautiously in order to guarantee a more authentic portrayal of historical context (Sauer 1941). These components make up the historical research design, which helps scholars analyse and comprehend historical context in a methodical manner. Building accurate historical narratives and evaluating the significance of historical events in the context of larger historical events requires this contextual awareness.

Comparative Analysis

6

Comparing several eras, places, or societies is made possible by historical research design. Researchers can find patterns, similarities, and contrasts in a variety of historical contexts by using a comparative approach (Smith and Peregrine 2012). When doing comparative analysis—a methodical process that involves comparing and contrasting historical occurrences across various contexts, locations, or time periods—historical research design plays a crucial role in assisting researchers (Lange 2012). Creating research questions is the first step in designing a historical study. By creating questions that encourage comparison, researchers encourage their audience to consider parallels, divergences, and trends in various historical contexts. Questions that are appropriate for comparative analysis are developed based on the design. Establishing the study's contextual bounds is crucial, as the design makes clear. It is advised that researchers define the boundaries of the comparative analysis by identifying the areas, eras, or cultural settings they plan to analyse.

Researchers pinpoint the elements or variables that are essential to their research. The design aids in the selection of variables that enable insightful analysis and reveal the ways in which various elements impact historical events (Hakim 2012). The process of designing a historical research project includes choosing sources that make comparison analysis easier. Scholars select sources that address the selected contexts and offer pertinent data for analysis across contexts. Primary sources, secondary sources, or a mix of the two may be used in this. The methodological framework for performing comparative analysis is outlined in the design (Gliner 2011). This entails defining the comparison criteria, creating an organised strategy for gathering data, and putting in place a methodical procedure for deciphering and evaluating comparable results (Gliner 2011).

Longitudinal studies are frequently used in historical research designs to enable researchers to track changes throughout time (Saldana 2003). A more thorough knowledge



of how historical occurrences develop and alter in various situations is made possible by longitudinal study (Saldana 2003).⁵ Within their historical research design, researchers may employ a case study design to concentrate on particular incidents or occurrences that are amenable to comparison. In-depth understanding of the dynamics of historical processes in various contexts is possible through case studies (Simons 2014). Research on the past frequently incorporates knowledge from other fields. Scholars may use techniques from sociology, political science, economics, and other disciplines to augment the comprehensiveness and profundity of their comparative study.

Researchers may use the design as a guide while performing cultural analysis as a component of the comparative method. Examining the cultural elements that shaped historical changes and comprehending the ways in which cultural variances contribute to variations in various situations are part of this (Lieberman 2005). To make sure that the comparative study is carried out in the proper historical and cultural contexts, researchers employ a historical research design. The approach directs researchers in placing findings in context and emphasises how crucial it is to comprehend the particular circumstances that produced each situation.

The creation or use of theoretical frameworks that aid in comparative analysis may be part of the design process. Theories give researchers a conceptual framework for understanding their findings and direct their investigation of parallels and divergences (Turner *et al.* 2017). These components give historical research design an organised and methodical way to do comparative analysis. This guarantees that scholars can derive significant information from such comparisons, advancing our comprehension of historical processes in various situations.

Interdisciplinary Approach

An interdisciplinary approach to history study, combining insights from disciplines like political science, sociology, anthropology, and archaeology, is often beneficial. The integration of multiple disciplines to produce a more comprehensive understanding of the past is made possible by a well-designed historical research approach (Klein 2007). By encouraging scholars to use methods and insights from other fields outside of history, historical research design promotes an interdisciplinary approach. This method gives a more thorough understanding of the past and enriches historical interpretation. Aspects of economics, political science, anthropology, sociology, and other fields may naturally be included in these inquiries (Erickson 2011). Researchers are encouraged by the design to formulate issues that can be approached from interdisciplinary angles.

Researchers are guided in identifying variables that are pertinent to their study by the design. These variables may cut across several academic fields. Thus, scholars must take into account a variety of elements that have influenced historical changes (Marczyk *et al.* 2010). The field of analysis is expanded by the interdisciplinary approach. A literature review, in which researchers examine previously published work, is a component of historical research design (Snyder 2019). The layout of the material enables researchers to read across disciplinary boundaries in order to learn about other ideas, frameworks, and methodological philosophies.

Researchers create conceptual frameworks that incorporate ideas from several fields by using a historical research design. An organised foundation for comprehending the intricate relationships and processes at work in historical events is provided by this multidisciplinary framework (Goldman *et al.* 2016). Researchers can use techniques and

⁵Ibid., p.22

procedures from other fields because of the design's flexibility in methodological options. Depending on the objectives of the study, this could entail fusing historical techniques with sociological surveys, ethnographic fieldwork, or economic modelling (Goldman *et al.* 2016). Scholars choose resources from diverse fields to enhance their examination. Oral histories, statistical information, literary works, and archaeological discoveries could all be included in this. The variety of sources utilised in historical studies is increased by the multidisciplinary approach.

Comparative analysis is frequently used in the design, which enables researchers to analyse historical phenomena in various contexts. This comparative method highlights the parallels, discrepancies, and trends in historical processes by utilising insights from other disciplines (Bartlett and Vavrus 2016). Multidisciplinary viewpoints enhance our comprehension of the context. Using knowledge from fields that specialise in social, cultural, political, and economic contexts, researchers use the design to highlight how important it is to take these factors into account (Bartlett and Vavrus 2016).

The design of historical research may entail the creation or use of theories from several academic fields. Researchers can utilise theories to direct their analysis and interpret historical facts within a more comprehensive theoretical framework by using an interdisciplinary method (Grant and Azadeh 2014). Longitudinal studies are frequently included in the design, which enables researchers to track changes over time. Integrating economic, demographic, or sociological data may be necessary to comprehend how historical processes play out over protracted periods of time (Grant and Azadeh 2014)

When designing a historical research project, an interdisciplinary approach may take into account ethical concerns from a number of angles. This guarantees that ethical issues pertaining to the many communities and groups participating in the study are addressed by researchers (Pink 2003). Historical research design promotes an interdisciplinary approach that makes use of the advantages of several disciplines by supporting these components (Pink 2003). The depth and breadth of historical study are improved by this holistic viewpoint, which results in a more sophisticated comprehension of the many variables affecting the path of history.

Longitudinal Studies

For longitudinal investigations, historical research design works especially well since it lets researchers follow the evolution of phenomena over a long time. Grasp historical trends and shifts requires a grasp of the long-term perspective (Fogel 2006). When conducting longitudinal studies—longitudinal studies that examine historical events, processes, or phenomena over an extended period of time—historical research design is essential to the process. The layout stimulates the formulation of research questions that are intrinsically temporally oriented, leading to an investigation of the temporal evolution of phenomena (Ruspini 2003). This establishes the framework for long-term research. Over time, the design helps researchers find factors that are pertinent to their research. Scholars must take into account how important variables fluctuate, endure, or interact over time in order to provide a dynamic understanding of historical processes.

Clearly defining the study's temporal bounds is emphasised by historical research design. The beginning and ending points of the study are specified by the researchers to guarantee a narrowly defined and controllable scope for the longitudinal analysis (Collins 2006). In order to guarantee that the materials chosen offer insights into the evolution of events or conditions, researchers choose sources that span the relevant time horizon. The design directs investigators in locating and assessing sources that span the whole time frame of the study. The design delineates tactics for longitudinal data collection. This could entail interviews, historical document analysis, archival research, and other techniques that capture the study's temporal dimension (Mohajan 2018). The purpose of data collection strategies is to guarantee the accuracy and completeness of longitudinal data.

Comparative analysis, in which researchers examine developments or patterns across many time periods, is a common component of historical study design. This comparative method advances our understanding of historical processes and how they evolve or endure throughout time (Thelen 2003). In order to create a conceptual framework that takes into account the temporal component of their investigation, researchers employ historical research design. Temporal concerns in theories or models that direct the study of historical occurrences may be incorporated into this framework.

Ethics-specific design elements for longitudinal research could include making sure people or communities are treated morally throughout the study. The time aspect of research raises significant ethical issues that should be addressed by researchers (Mayhew and Murphy 2009). Insights from many disciplines are frequently integrated into historical research designs, enabling researchers to use theories and procedures that support longitudinal study (Mayhew and Murphy 2009). The longitudinal study's breadth and depth are improved by this multidisciplinary approach.

Researchers can create narratives that depict the chronological progression of historical events or processes by following the design's guidance. This narrative element aids in presenting the chronological order of events in a logical and significant way (Rosile et al. 2013). Theories that take temporal dynamics into account might be created or applied by researchers. Integration of theoretical frameworks that aid in explaining how and why historical processes alter or stay the same across time is encouraged by the design (Haggis 2008). These components are included in historical research design, which offers an organised and methodical way to carry out longitudinal studies. This guarantees that scholars can investigate the temporal aspects of historical occurrences and phenomena with efficacy, so as to augment our comprehension of historical processes and their temporal evolution.

Narrative Construction

The design of historical research makes historical story production easier. It is possible for scholars to convey to their audience the intricacy of historical processes by arranging events logically and coherently (Landsberg 2015). In order to facilitate the creation of coherent and significant narratives about the past, historical research design plays a crucial role in directing the narrative development process. Researchers are frequently prompted to consider the narrative they wish to present by these questions (Bamberg 2012). The design directs the formulation of inquiries that foster the creation of a story to fulfil the objectives of the research. The study's design aids in defining its precise temporal bounds. Scholars establish the beginning and conclusion of their research, offering a structure within which the story will take shape. To create a story that is coherent and chronological, this temporal framework is necessary.

Scholars ascertain essential characteristics, occurrences, or elements pertinent to their research. The design ensures that researchers have a clear knowledge of the components that compose the story they plan to tell by guiding them in the selection of narratively significant parts (Barkhuizen and Wette 2008). The process of designing a historical research project includes choosing sources that support the story (Barkhuizen and Wette 2008). Scholars select primary and secondary sources that offer the proof, viewpoints, and information required to create a complete and accurate narrative. Researchers are guided by the design when assessing the validity and applicability of sources.

The significance of contextual understanding is emphasised in the design. Scholars investigate the political, social, cultural, and economic milieu around historical occurrences. This background knowledge enhances the story by giving the tale more depth and significance (Barton and Levstik 2004). Longitudinal studies are frequently incorporated into historical research designs, enabling researchers to follow the evolution of events across time. This narrative is enhanced by the temporal viewpoint, which shows how historical processes develop and alter throughout time. Comparative analysis, in which researchers

evaluate several situations, places, or eras, may be incorporated into the design (Barton and Levstik 2004). This comparison method highlights parallels, discrepancies, and trends among various historical contexts, which deepens the story.

Researchers provide a conceptual framework that serves as the theoretical basis for the story using historical research design. This framework establishes important ideas, connections, and motifs that aid in structuring and organising the narrative. The layout promotes interdisciplinary thinking, enabling researchers to incorporate ideas from other fields. The narrative is enhanced by this multidisciplinary approach, which integrates a range of perspectives and approaches. Critical examination of sources, supporting data, and interpretations is encouraged by historical research designs (Barton and Levstik 2004). In order to make sure that the story is supported by trustworthy and substantial evidence, researchers are advised to critically assess the data they collect.

Ethical issues regarding how people, groups, or events are portrayed in the story may be taken into account during the design process. In order to maintain the integrity and dignity of historical actors, researchers are guided in navigating ethical difficulties. Techniques for combining research results into a coherent story are part of the design of historical research (Leavy 2022). In order to provide a coherent and captivating tale that captures the richness and complexity of historical events, researchers arrange and structure their discoveries (Leavy 2022). These components give historical research design an organised and methodical approach to story development. It assists scholars in integrating the diverse elements of their investigation into a logical and significant narrative that advances our comprehension of historical events.

Critical Analysis

Analysing and thinking critically are encouraged by historical research designs. When new data becomes available, researchers are taught to challenge presumptions, reevaluate their findings, and question existing knowledge. The design of historical research is fundamental to the direction of critical analysis in the discipline of history. Analysing something critically entails carefully and methodically going over sources, supporting data, interpretations, and techniques. Researchers are encouraged by the design to formulate questions that elicit critical thinking. In order to prepare for further critical examination, researchers must carefully assess the breadth, significance, and implications of their research topics.

Researchers are guided in their source selection process by the design. Analysing sources critically entails determining their dependability, legitimacy, and applicability. When selecting primary and secondary sources, researchers need to take into account a number of aspects, including authorship, bias, context, and the target audience. Inside the research design, researchers make methodological decisions. The procedures used are also subject to critical scrutiny, which calls for researchers to defend their selections, evaluate their suitability, and point out any shortcomings. This examination guarantees the validity and rigour of the study.

The significance of contextual understanding is emphasised in the design. Evaluating how effectively scholars understand the social, cultural, political, and economic background of the historical events they are studying is a key component of critical analysis. Accurate source interpretation requires a grasp of context. Triangulation, or the use of several sources or methodologies to validate conclusions, is encouraged by historical research design (Hammersley 2008). To improve the validity and resilience of their interpretations, researchers compare and contrast data while critically analysing various points of view and kinds of evidence.

The design frequently incorporates knowledge from several fields. The depth and complexity of historical study are enhanced by interdisciplinary approaches, which encourage academics to critically engage with a variety of theoretical frameworks, methodologies, and perspectives. Narratives are created by researchers using historical

research design frameworks. Researchers must critically assess how well their narratives capture the intricacies, nuance, and causal components of historical events as part of the storytelling component of critical analysis (Foster *et al.* 2017).

The study of historical writing, or historiography, is taken into account in historical research design. Historiographical analysis is a method used by researchers to assess critically the interpretations of historical events made by other scholars (Lustick 1996). This advances a more sophisticated comprehension of the current academic conversation. The design of historical research must take ethics into account. Ethics-related considerations about how researchers handle sources, people, and communities are also included in critical analysis (Drolet *et al.* 2023). Engaging with the historical record in a responsible and respectful manner is guaranteed by ethical scrutiny.

Comparative analysis, which is frequently included in historical research designs, necessitates a critical evaluation of parallels, divergences, and trends in other contexts. To guarantee the validity of their comparison findings, researchers rigorously assess the methodological decisions they make in comparative analysis (Thelen 2003). Within the framework of their historical research design, researchers can create or use hypotheses. Theories are developed and assessed as part of critical analysis to make sure they make sense and are consistent with the data (Klein *et al.* 2004). The use of historical research designs encourages ongoing reflection on the findings. Researchers critically analyse data continuously, challenging presumptions, reevaluating interpretations, and being willing to change course as new information becomes available (Klein *et al.* 2004). By highlighting these components, historical research design creates a structure for critical examination and motivates researchers to approach their work with discernment and inquiry. In order to produce rigorous and trustworthy historical scholarship, this critical interaction is necessary.

Preservation of Memory

The experiences and tales of previous generations are conserved via historical study. This strengthens society's collective memory and aids in figuring out the historical context of current problems (Klein *et al.* 2004). Historical research design offers an organised and methodical framework for recording, analysing, and comprehending the past, which helps to preserve memory. Researchers are guided in methodically recording historical occurrences by historical research design (Kapur 2018). Researchers identify important changes, people, and events that are important for maintaining the memory of a given period by setting research questions and objectives. Choosing and evaluating primary documents, artefacts, oral histories, and other resources are all part of the design process (Abrams 2016). In order to ensure that historical accounts are complete and accurate, researchers carefully select the sources, making sure that these sources get ingrained in the communal memory. Contextual understanding is emphasised in historical research design (Abrams 2016). Scholars delve into the historical events' social, cultural, political, and economic backgrounds. The process of contextualization enhances recollections of the past by offering a more profound comprehension of the elements that influenced it.

Longitudinal studies are frequently incorporated into the design, which allows researchers to track the evolution of occurrences over time. A more thorough and complex recollection of historical processes and their development is facilitated by this temporal perspective (Ruspini 1999). Narratives are created by researchers using historical research design frameworks. These narratives arrange historical events into logical and significant storylines, which helps to preserve memory (Elliott 2005). A broader audience can better understand and remember the past thanks to narratives. Comparative analysis is a component of historical research design that enables researchers to analyse historical events in various situations. Through the comparison of experiences from other groups, patterns, similarities, and differences are brought to light, enriching the collective memory (Mosley 2006). The design frequently incorporates knowledge from several fields (Mosley 2006). By

incorporating a variety of viewpoints, techniques, and sources, interdisciplinary approaches enable academics to contribute to a more comprehensive and well-rounded preservation of historical memory.

Archival research involves accessing and examining information and materials that have been stored in archives by researchers (Ventresca and Mohr 2017). The study design's methodical approach to archival research guarantees the identification, preservation, and accessibility of priceless historical materials for upcoming generations (Nsibirwa 2012). Public outreach and engagement tactics may be incorporated into the design of historical research. Scholars create initiatives that intend to disseminate historical discoveries to the wider public, conserving memory in both scholarly and popular contexts. Ethical considerations for the appropriate handling of historical materials and the portrayal of people and communities are incorporated into the design (Wilmer *et al.* 2021). A respectable and moral way to preserve historical memory is through ethical norms. Libraries, archives, and museums are examples of memory institutions where researchers may work together (Ketelaar *et al.* 2004). Strategies for collaborating with these organisations to guarantee the appropriate preservation, curation, and accessibility of historical materials may be included in the research design (Ross and Hedstrom 2005).

Public history programmes that actively involve communities in preserving and sharing their own histories may be incorporated into historical research designs. A community's sense of ownership and connection to its past are strengthened by this cooperative approach (Flinn 2011). Historical research design becomes an effective instrument for maintaining historical memory when these components are included (Confino 1997). It makes ensuring that historical events are accurately and thoroughly recorded, understood, and communicated in a way that advances our understanding of the past as a whole.

Conclusion

12

In conclusion, the ability of a historical research design to offer an organised and methodical approach to the study of the past makes it suitable for use in history research. The methodological framework provided by historical research design is in line with the distinctive qualities of historical inquiry and enhances the breadth and dependability of historical knowledge. Researchers can arrange events over time, trace historical trends, and identify patterns and changes thanks to the chronological understanding made possible by historical study design. Building accurate and insightful historical narratives requires a solid understanding of this temporal perspective. The focus on source analysis helps researchers choose trustworthy and pertinent materials by ensuring that they interact critically with primary and secondary sources. This meticulous method of choosing sources enhances the validity and trustworthiness of historical judgements. A crucial element of historical study design, contextualization pushes scholars to investigate the social, cultural, political, and economic environments that surrounded historical events. This improves our comprehension of the intricacies and connections between historical events.

Within historical research design, the investigation of causation, comparative analysis, and longitudinal studies allows researchers to explore the variables driving historical processes, draw insightful comparisons, and track the progression of events across time. These methodological components provide historical analysis with more complexity and subtlety. Researchers can gain a comprehensive understanding of historical occurrences by utilising insights from other disciplines, according to the interdisciplinary approach promoted by historical research design. Research on the past is more complex and richer because of this combination of viewpoints.

Furthermore, by helping researchers record, analyse, and portray historical events in an organised way, historical research design supports memory retention. The design incorporates ethical considerations to guarantee conscientious and appropriate interaction



with historical materials and communities. To put it briefly, the ability of a historical research design to lead researchers through a methodical and exacting procedure makes it appropriate for use in historical research. Historical research design improves the calibre and depth of historical inquiry, leading to a more accurate and nuanced understanding of the past by incorporating contextualization, source analysis, chronological comprehension, and other methodological components.

References

- Abrams, L. (2016). *Oral history theory* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Routledge.
- Allan, H. E. (2015). *The archival context of contemporary practice: How might temporal artistic process function as a trace within the archive?* (PhD dissertation). Manchester, UK: Manchester Metropolitan University.
- Argyres, N. S., De Massis, A., Foss, N. J., Frattini, F., Jones, G., & Silverman, B. S. (2020). History-informed strategy research: The promise of history and historical research methods in advancing strategy scholarship. *Strategic Management Journal* 41(3): 343–368.
- Assmann, A. (2006). Memory, individual. In R. E. Goodin & C. Tilly (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of contextual political analysis* (Vol. 5, pp. 210). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Bamberg, M. (2012). *Narrative development: Six approaches*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Barkhuizen, G., & Wette, R. (2008). Narrative frames for investigating the experiences of language teachers. *System* 36(3): 372–387.
- Bartlett, L., & Vavrus, F. (2016). *Rethinking case study research: A comparative approach*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.
- Barton, K. C., & Levstik, L. S. (2004). *Teaching history for the common good*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Baylis, J. (2020). *The globalization of world politics: An introduction to international relations* (8th ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Bhandari, S., Thomassen, Ø., & Nathan, R. (2023). Causation, historiographic approaches and the investigation of serious adverse incidents in mental health settings. *Health* 27(6): 1019–1032.
- Blossfeld, H.-P. (2001). *Techniques of event history modeling: New approaches to causal analysis*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Boonstra, O., Breure, L., & Doorn, P. (2006). *Past, present and future of historical information science*. The Hague, Netherlands: DANS.
- Borch, O. J., & Arthur, M. B. (1995). Strategic networks among small firms: Implications for strategy research methodology. *Journal of Management Studies* 32(4): 419–441.
- Calhoun, C., & Rhoten, D. (2010). Integrating the social sciences: Theoretical knowledge, methodological tools, and practical applications. In R. Frodeman (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of interdisciplinarity* (pp. 103–118). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Carroll, J. E. (2016). Exploring historical ‘frameworks’ as a curriculum goal: A case study examining students’ notions of historical significance when using millennia-wide time scales. *The Curriculum Journal* 27(4): 454–478.
- Collins, L. M. (2006). Analysis of longitudinal data: The integration of theoretical model, temporal design, and statistical model. *Annual Review of Psychology* (57): 505–528.
- Confino, A. (1997). Collective memory and cultural history: Problems of method. *The American Historical Review* 102(5): 1386–1403.
- Danto, E. A. (2008). *Historical research*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- DeBoer, G. E. (2000). Scientific literacy: Another look at its historical and contemporary meanings and its relationship to science education reform. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* 37(6): 582–601.

- Della Porta, D. (2008). Comparative analysis: Case-oriented versus variable-oriented research. In D. Della Porta & M. Keating (Eds.), *Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences* (pp. 198–222). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Drolet, M.-J., Rose-Derouin, E., Leblanc, J.-C., Ruest, M., & Williams-Jones, B. (2023). Ethical issues in research: Perceptions of researchers, research ethics board members and research ethics experts. *Journal of Academic Ethics* 21(2): 269–292.
- Elliott, J. (2005). *Using narrative in social research: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. London, UK: SAGE Publications.
- Erickson, F. (2011). A history of qualitative inquiry in social and educational research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research* (4th ed., pp. 43–59). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Ermakoff, I. (2019). Causality and history: Modes of causal investigation in historical social sciences. *Annual Review of Sociology* (45): 581–606.
- Flinn, A. (2011). Archival activism: Independent and community-led archives, radical public history and the heritage professions. *InterActions: UCLA Journal of Education and Information Studies* 7(2): 116–132.
- Fogel, A. (2006). *Change processes in relationships: A relational-historical research approach*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Foster, W. M., Coraiola, D. M., Suddaby, R., Kroezen, J., & Chandler, D. (2017). The strategic use of historical narratives: A theoretical framework. *Business History* 59(8): 1176–1200.
- Gangatharan, A. (2008). The problem of periodization in history. In *Proceedings of the Indian History Congress* (Vol. 69, pp. 862–871). Delhi, India: Indian History Congress.
- Gliner, J. A., Morgan, G. A., & Leech, N. L. (2011). *Research methods in applied settings: An integrated approach to design and analysis*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Goldman, S. R., Britt, M. A., Brown, W., Cribb, G., George, M., Greenleaf, C., Lee, C. D., Shanahan, C., & Project READi. (2016). Disciplinary literacies and learning to read for understanding: A conceptual framework for disciplinary literacy. *Educational Psychologist* 51(2): 219–246.
- Grant, C., & Osanloo, A. (2014). Understanding, selecting, and integrating a theoretical framework in dissertation research: Creating the blueprint for your “house.” *Administrative Issues Journal* 4(2): 4–13.
- Haggis, T. (2008). ‘Knowledge must be contextual’: Some possible implications of complexity and dynamic systems theories for educational research. *Educational Philosophy and Theory* 40(1): 158–176.
- Hakim, C. (2012). *Research design: Successful designs for social and economic research* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Routledge.
- Hammersley, M. (2008). Troubles with triangulation. In M. M. Bergman (Ed.), *Advances in mixed methods research* (pp. 22–36). London, UK: SAGE Publications.
- Hodder, I., & Hutson, S. (2003). *Reading the past: Current approaches to interpretation in archaeology* (3rd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Howell, M. C., & Prevenier, W. (2001). *From reliable sources: An introduction to historical methods*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Imenda, S. (2014). Is there a conceptual difference between theoretical and conceptual frameworks? *Journal of Social Sciences* 38(2): 185–195.
- Kapur, R. (2018). *Research methodology: Methods and strategies*. New Delhi, India: Department of Adult Education and Continuing Extension, University of Delhi.
- Ketelaar, E., McKemmish, S., & Gilliland-Swetland, A. (2005). ‘Communities of memory’: Pluralising archival research and education agendas. *Archives and Manuscripts* 33(1): 146–174.

- Klein, J. T. (2007). Interdisciplinary approaches in social science research. In W. Outhwaite & S. P. Turner (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of social science methodology* (pp. 32–50). Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Klein, K. J., Dansereau, F., & Hall, R. J. (1994). Levels issues in theory development, data collection, and analysis. *Academy of Management Review* 19(2): 195–229.
- Landsberg, A. (2015). *Engaging the past: Mass culture and the production of historical knowledge*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Lange, M. (2012). *Comparative-historical methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Leavy, P. (2022). *Research design: Quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods, arts-based, and community-based participatory research approaches*. New York, NY: Guilford Publications.
- Levy, J. S. (2015). Counterfactuals, causal inference, and historical analysis. *Security Studies* 24(3): 378–402.
- Lieberman, E. S. (2005). Nested analysis as a mixed-method strategy for comparative research. *American Political Science Review* 99(3): 435–452.
- Lustick, I. S. (1996). History, historiography, and political science: Multiple historical records and the problem of selection bias. *American Political Science Review* 90(3): 605–618.
- Marczyk, G. R., DeMatteo, D., & Festinger, D. (2010). *Essentials of research design and methodology* (Vol. 2). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Mayhew, B. W., & Murphy, P. R. (2009). The impact of ethics education on reporting behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics* (86): 397–416.
- Megill, A. (2007). *Historical knowledge, historical error: A contemporary guide to practice*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Menard, S. W. (2002). *Longitudinal research* (Vol. 76). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Miller, D. C., & Salkind, N. J. (2002). *Handbook of research design and social measurement*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Miller, R. C. (1982). Varieties of interdisciplinary approaches in the social sciences: A 1981 overview. *Issues in Interdisciplinary Studies* (1): 1–25.
- Mohajan, H. K. (2018). Qualitative research methodology in social sciences and related subjects. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People* 7(1): 23–48.
- Mosley, S. (2006). Common ground: Integrating social and environmental history. *Journal of Social History* 39(3): 915–933.
- Nsibirwa, Z. B. (2012). *Preservation of, and access to, legal deposit materials in South Africa* (PhD dissertation). University of South Africa, Pretoria.
- Pink, S. (2003). Interdisciplinary agendas in visual research: Re-situating visual anthropology. *Visual Studies* 18(2): 179–192.
- Platt, J. (1999). *A history of sociological research methods in America, 1920-1960* (Vol. 40). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Porra, J., Hirschheim, R., & Parks, M. S. (2014). The historical research method and information systems research. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 15(9): 140-165.
- Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. (2014). *Design and development research: Methods, strategies, and issues*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Rosile, G. A., Boje, D. M., Carlon, D. M., Downs, A., & Saylor, R. (2013). Storytelling diamond: An antenarrative integration of the six facets of storytelling in organization research design. *Organizational Research Methods* 16(4): 557–580.
- Ross, S., & Hedstrom, M. (2005). Preservation research and sustainable digital libraries. *International Journal on Digital Libraries* (5): 317–324.
- Ruspini, E. (1999). Longitudinal research and the analysis of social change. *Quality and Quantity* (33): 219–227.
- Ruspini, E. (2003). *An introduction to longitudinal research*. London, UK: Routledge.

- Saldaña, J. (2003). *Longitudinal qualitative research: Analyzing change through time*. Walnut Creek, CA: Rowman Altamira.
- Sauer, C. O. (1941). Foreword to historical geography. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 31(1): 1–24.
- Shreiner, T. L. (2014). Using historical knowledge to reason about contemporary political issues: An expert–novice study. *Cognition and Instruction* 32(4): 313–352.
- Simons, H. (2014). Case study research: In-depth understanding in context. In P. Leavy (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 455–470). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Smith, M. E., & Peregrine, P. (2012). Approaches to comparative analysis in archaeology. In P. Peregrine (Ed.), *The comparative archaeology of complex societies* (pp. 4–20). Boulder, CO: University Press of Colorado.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research* (104): 333–339.
- Stearns, P. N., Seixas, P., & Wineburg, S. (Eds.). (2000). *Knowing, teaching, and learning history: National and international perspectives*. New York, NY: NYU Press.
- Thelen, K. (2003). Insights from comparative historical analysis. In J. Mahoney & D. Rueschemeyer (Eds.), *Comparative historical analysis in the social sciences* (pp. 208–240). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Tosh, J. (2015). *The pursuit of history: Aims, methods and new directions in the study of history* (6th ed.). London, UK: Routledge.
- Turner, S. F., Cardinal, L. B., & Burton, R. M. (2017). Research design for mixed methods: A triangulation-based framework and roadmap. *Organizational Research Methods* 20(2): 243–267.
- Van Zanden, J. L. (2009). *The long road to the industrial revolution: The European economy in a global perspective, 1000-1800* (Vol. 1). Leiden, Netherlands: Brill.
- Vansina, J. (1965). *Oral tradition: A study in historical methodology*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
- Vansteenkiste, M., Niemiec, C. P., & Soenens, B. (2010). The development of the five mini-theories of self-determination theory: An historical overview, emerging trends, and future directions. In T. C. Urdan & S. A. Karabenick (Eds.), *The decade ahead: Theoretical perspectives on motivation and achievement* (Vol. 16, pp. 105–165). Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing.
- Ventresca, M. J., & Mohr, J. W. (2017). Archival research methods. In J. P. Walsh & A. Brief (Eds.), *The Blackwell companion to organizations* (pp. 805–828). Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Wilmer, H., Meadow, A. M., Bentley Brymer, A., Carroll, S. R., Ferguson, D. B., Garba, I., Greene, C., Owen, G., & Peck, D. E. (2021). Expanded ethical principles for research partnership and transdisciplinary natural resource management science. *Environmental Management* 68(4): 453–467.
- Wyche, S., Sengers, P., & Grinter, R. E. (2006). Historical analysis: Using the past to design the future. In P. Dourish & A. Friday (Eds.), *UbiComp 2006: Ubiquitous computing: 8th international conference, UbiComp 2006, Orange County, CA, USA, September 17-21, 2006. Proceedings* (pp. 35–51). Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Yegge, J. G. (2014). *A historical analysis of the relationship of faith and science and its significance within education* (PhD dissertation). Walden University, Minneapolis, MN.